Noise sensitivity from fractional query algorithms

Renan Gross, Weizmann Institute of Science



Boolean functions

A Boolean function is a function $f: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$.

Boolean functions

A Boolean function is a function $f: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$.

Example: Majority

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$



Percolation

 $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$











Percolation

 $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$





Percolation

 $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$





Percolation $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if green } crossing \\ -1 & \text{if yellow } \leftrightarrow crossing \end{cases}$















Pick $\varepsilon > 0$, and flip each bit with probability ε .

Pick $\varepsilon > 0$, and flip each bit with probability ε .



Pick $\varepsilon > 0$, and flip each bit with probability ε .

ɛ-noise





Pick $\varepsilon > 0$, and flip each bit with probability ε .



Ļ
4
4
ĩ
J
Ļ
L,
Ч
C
J.

Definition: A sequence $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ of balanced Boolean functions is called "*noise sensitive*" if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_n(x)f_n(y)] = 0,$$

where x is random and y is an ε -noising of x.

Definition: A sequence $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ of balanced Boolean functions is called "*noise sensitive*" if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}[f_n(x)f_n(y)] = 0,$$

where x is random and y is an ε -noising of x.

Is percolation crossing noise sensitive? If so, how fast can ε go to 0 with n?

x is uniform random, but hidden from you.



x is uniform random, but hidden from you.



x is uniform random, but hidden from you.



x is uniform random, but hidden from you.



x is uniform random, but hidden from you.

Reveal hexagons one by one, until f(x) is found.



Reveal random bits

x is uniform random, but hidden from you.

Reveal hexagons one by one, until f(x) is found.



Reveal random bits

Reveal rows

x is uniform random, but hidden from you.

Reveal hexagons one by one, until f(x) is found.



Reveal random bits

Reveal rows

Random floodfill

x is uniform random, but hidden from you.

Reveal hexagons one by one, until f(x) is found.



Reveal random bits

Reveal rows

Random floodfill

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions.

Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{P}[T_{n} \text{ reveals bit } i].$$

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions.

Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{P}[T_{n} \text{ reveals bit } i]$$

Theorem: If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions.

Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{P}[T_{n} \text{ reveals bit } i]$$

Theorem:

If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The faster $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the more noise sensitive it is!
















View 1:

True *x*

View 1:

True *x* 1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 ? Known *x* ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

View 1:

True *x* 1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 Known *x* ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

View 1:



View 1:



View 1:

True *x* 1 -1 -1 1 -1 1 1 -1 Known *x* ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 1

View 2:

Input *x*

View 1:



View 2:



View 1:



View 2:

Input *x*

View 1:



View 2:

Input *x*

?
 ?
 1
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?

$$i$$
 i
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?

 i
 i
 i
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?

 i
 i
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?

 i
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?
 ?

x(0







 $\delta_i = \mathbb{P}[\text{bit } i \text{ is queried}] = \mathbb{E}[x_i(\tau)^2]$

Fractional query algorithms Let $\varepsilon > 0$. x(0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

x(0) $x_i = x_i + \begin{cases} \varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \\ -\varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \end{cases}$ UL SIL x(1) \mathbf{O}

x(0) $x_i = x_i + \begin{cases} \varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \\ -\varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \end{cases}$ UL SIL x(1) \mathbf{O}

x(0) $x_i = x_i + \begin{cases} \varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \\ -\varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \end{cases}$ UL SING x(2) \mathbf{O} -8

x(0)0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $x_i = x_i + \begin{cases} \varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \\ -\varepsilon \text{ w.p. } 1/2 \end{cases}$ THE PULS 0 0 0 x(2) \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} 8 0 -8

$$x(0)$$
0000000 i i

 $^{\prime}2$

Can only do this if $x_i(t) \in (-1,1)$.

Computing with fractional inputs

Computing with fractional inputs Every $f: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

This is a real-valued polynomial.
$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

This is a real-valued polynomial.

$$f(X(t)) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} X_i(t)$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

This is a real-valued polynomial.

$$f(X(t)) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} X_i(t)$$

In fact, it is an interpolation!

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

This is a real-valued polynomial.

$$f(X(t)) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} X_i(t)$$

In fact, it is an interpolation!



$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} x_i$$

This is a real-valued polynomial.

$$f(X(t)) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S} X_i(t)$$

In fact, it is an interpolation!



Individual bits perform random walks



Individual bits perform random walks

In the end,

$$X(\infty) \in \{-1,1\}^n$$

This is the "final input".



Individual bits perform random walks

In the end,

$$X(\infty) \in \{-1,1\}^n$$



This is the "final input".

The current value gives a hint to the future:

$$\mathbb{P}[X_{i}(\infty) = 1 \mid X_{i}(t)] = \frac{1 + X_{i}(t)}{2}$$

Comparing with classical algorithms

Comparing with classical algorithms

For classical decision trees,

 $\delta_i = \mathbb{P}[\text{bit } i \text{ is queried}] = \mathbb{E}[X_i(\tau)^2].$

We define δ_i similarly for fractional algorithms.

Comparing with classical algorithms For classical decision trees,

$$\delta_i = \mathbb{P}[\text{bit } i \text{ is queried}] = \mathbb{E}[X_i(\tau)^2].$$

We define δ_i similarly for fractional algorithms.

Fact: $\min_{\varepsilon - \text{algs}} \delta_i \leq \min_{2\varepsilon - \text{algs}} \delta_i$



Comparing with classical algorithms For classical decision trees,

$$\delta_i = \mathbb{P}[\text{bit } i \text{ is queried}] = \mathbb{E}[X_i(\tau)^2].$$

We define δ_i similarly for fractional algorithms.

Fact: $\min_{\varepsilon - \text{algs}} \delta_i \leq \min_{2\varepsilon - \text{algs}} \delta_i$

$$x - 2\varepsilon \quad x \quad x + 2\varepsilon$$

$$x - 2\varepsilon \quad x \quad x + 2\varepsilon$$

$$x - 2\varepsilon \quad x \quad x + 2\varepsilon$$

$$x - \varepsilon \quad x + \varepsilon$$

Why this cost?

Fact: $\mathbb{E}[X_i(\tau)^2] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]_{\tau} = \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[\text{#times } i \text{ was chosen}]$

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions.

Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{P}[T_{n} \text{ reveals bit } i]$$

Theorem:

If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The faster $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the more noise sensitive it is!

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions. fractional Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{P}[T_{n} \text{ reveals bit } i]$$

Theorem:

If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The faster $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the more noise sensitive it is!

The Schramm-Steif Theorem

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions. fractional Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}(\tau)^{2}].$$

Theorem: If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The faster $\delta \to 0$, the more noise sensitive it is!

Let $f_n: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ be a sequence of Booelan functions. fractional Let T_n be a bit-reveal algorithm for f_n , and

$$\delta(n) := \max_{i} \delta_{i} = \max_{i} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}(\tau)^{2}].$$

Theorem: If $\delta \to 0$, then f_n is noise sensitive.

The faster $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the more noise sensitive it is!

Sending $\varepsilon \to 0$

Sending $\mathcal{E} \to 0$ Let $u_{\mathcal{E}}(x): [-1,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ = best alg when x(0) = x.

Sending $\varepsilon \to 0$ Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x): [-1,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ = best alg when x(0) = x.



In which direction to go?

Sending $\varepsilon \to 0$ Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x): [-1,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ = best alg when x(0) = x.



In which direction to go?

Sending $\mathcal{E} \to 0$ Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x): [-1,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ = best alg when x(0) = x.



Sending $\mathcal{E} \to 0$ Let $u_{\varepsilon}(x): [-1,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ = best alg when x(0) = x.



Sending
$$\varepsilon \to 0$$
 $\left(u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \min_{i} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon e_{i}) + u_{\varepsilon}(x - \varepsilon e_{i})}{2} + \varepsilon^{2}\right)$

Sending
$$\varepsilon \to 0$$
 $\left(u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \min_{i} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon e_{i}) + u_{\varepsilon}(x - \varepsilon e_{i})}{2} + \varepsilon^{2}\right)$

Theorem: Define $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\min_{i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + 2 = 0.$$

- "Axis-aligned Laplacian" equation.

Sending
$$\varepsilon \to 0$$
 $\left(u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \min_{i} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon e_{i}) + u_{\varepsilon}(x - \varepsilon e_{i})}{2} + \varepsilon^{2}\right)$

Theorem: Define $u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\min_{i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + 2 = 0.$$

- "Axis-aligned Laplacian" equation.
- u(0) might tell us something about $\delta!$
 - Solving a PDE can give us noise-sensitivity.

- Classical alg: just query bits. $\mathbb{E}[\text{runtime}] = 2.$

- Classical alg: just query bits. $\mathbb{E}[\text{runtime}] = 2.$
- Fractional alg: ???







The big question

The big question

• Is P = NP?

The big question

•
$$+$$
 $s P = NP$?

• Is there a class of functions f such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\delta(f, \varepsilon)}{\delta(f, 1)} = 0?$$

(specifically, what about percolation?)

Overview

 Boolean functions, noise-sensitivity, revealment algorithms

• Fractional algorithms can do better

• A limiting partial differential equation















Also a tree
